

FOX Implementation?

Murrough Landon 21 March 2017

- •Example modular implementation
 - •Connectivity, constraints and modularity
 - Rack layout and ribbon routing
 - •Front panel diagrams (innards by Dan)
 - •Discussion points?



Complex network of interconnections

- •116 LATOMEs (29 LDPBs) each sending one 48 way ribbon
 •Each LATOME connects to up to 4 eFEX, 3 jFEX and gFEX
- •32 PPM-TREX each sending one 48 way ribbon •Each TREX connects to up to 6 eFEX, 3 jFEX and gFEX
- •24 eFEX modules each expecting four 48 way ribbons
 •Coming from 12 EM LATOMEs and 12 TREX or 6 TREX + 3 LATOMEs
- •7 jFEX modules each expecting four 72 way ribbons
 •Coming from 64 EM LATOMEs and 24 TREX or 4 TREX + 9 LATOMEs
- •One gFEX module expecting four 72 way ribbons •Coming from all 116 LATOMEs and all 32 TREX

•Connections loop around in phi and across all eta

- Modular system implies making divisions
- Tried to optimise the bulk (eFEX)



Mapping Constraints

Constraints mainly coming from the FEXes:

eFEX: complex phi fanout, simple eta fanout => eFOX needs full phi ring, can divide in eta

jFEX: three way eta fanout, no phi fanout => jFOX needs full eta slice, can divide in phi

gFEX: "just" needs 1 (+spare?) fibre everywhere

Sources only constrain eta phi shapes: LAr: min eta slice 0.8; Tile: min phi slice 1.6

Combine LArFOX and eFOX, TileFOX and jFOX Handle gFOX wherever it is least inconvenient

> H G

D



L1Calo

Murrough Landon, QMUL



Connectivity Sketch



Murrough Landon, QMUL

L1Calo



•For Run 3 latency will still be critical

- Best to have the FOX on the minimal real time path
 Installation at the end of the row of racks adds ~ 1 BC?
 May have space for ~12 U installation below gFEX crate
- •How will we put the FOX together and test in situ?
 - •Each input goes to many destinations would testing the complete FOX involve too many (re)connections?
 - •If we discover an internal misconnection, fixing it involves lots of disconnections and reconnections => further risk
 - •Balance between monolithic and fiddly?
- •Sketch design with six ~2U boxes
 - •Aim for mapping with multiconnector ribbons
 - •Still need quite a lot of interconnections between boxes...
 - Can we avoid having extra connectors at both ends?



Draft Rack Layout of LiCalo FEX Rack

Possible space for FOX
NB still not sure if eFEX will need an extra crate
If so, things get very tight
Also I may have forgotten something crucial!





Routing Ribbons

•Minimal latency path: across racks from DPS and to gFEX, via back to jFEX and via hole between racks to back of eFEX



Murrough Landon, QMUL

L1Calo



Rack Mounted Boxes

•An early overambitious sketch from a year or two ago

- •1U is probably too little for that many connectors
- •2U should be plenty but more compact would be better!





Front and Back Panels (1)

•LArFOX_B and LArFOX_D



L1Calo

Murrough Landon, QMUL



Front and Back Panels (2)

•LArFOX_A and LArFOX_C

LArFOX_A	jg out to TileFOX 8 * 24	EMB LATOME (A) 16 * 48	EMEC/HEC LATOME (A) 8 * 48	FCAL (A) 2 * 48	jg out to TileFOX 4*72 + 2*24
Front	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $				$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$
LArFOX_C	jg out to TileFOX 8 * 24	EMB LATOME (C) 16 * 48	EMEC/HEC LATOME (A) 8 * 48	FCAL (C) 2 * 48	jg out to TileFOX 4*72 + 2*24
LArFOX_A		eFEX EM core 8 * 48	eFEX EM eFEX e end/fcal hadronic T 8 * 48 8 * 48	in from ileFOX 8 * 12	
Back					
LArFOX_C		eFEX EM core 8 * 48	eFEX EM eFEX e end/fcal hadronic Ti 8 * 48 8 * 48 8	in from leFOX 3 * 12	_

Murrough Landon, QMUL



Front and Back Panels (3)

TileFOX_E and TileFOX_F

TileFOX_E/F	jg EM/HEC/FCAL in from LArFOX 7 * N + 8 * 24		TREX C (Half phi) 8 * 48	Out to gFEX 2 * 72	TREX A (Half phi) 8 * 48	jg EM/HE in from 8 * 24	EC/FCAL LArFOX + 7 * N
Front	0000			0		0000	0000
TileFOX_E/F		e Tile to LArFOX 8 * 12		Out to JFEX 14 * 72		e Tile to LArFOX 8 * 12	
Back			0 (0 (



Number of Connectors

FOX Box	Front Total (Interconnect)	Back Total (Interconnect)	From LATOME/ TREX	To e/j/gFEX	Inter- connect
LArFOX_A	40 (14)	32 (8)	26 / 0	24/0/0	22
LArFOX_B	48 (16)	24 (0)	32 / 0	24/0/0	16
LArFOX_C	40 (14)	32 (8)	26 / 0	24/0/0	22
LArFOX_D	48 (16)	40 (16)	32 / 0	24/0/0	32
TileFOX_E	48 (30)	30 (16)	0/16	0/14/2	46
TileFOX_F	48 (30)	30 (16)	0/16	0/14/2	46
Total	272 (120)	188 (64)	116 / 32	96/28/4 = 128	184

•NB details of FCAL and other difficult regions to be done •May be more fiddly, needing more connectors than my guesses above



- Testing complete FOX boxes
 - How many connections before connectors damaged?
- Mechanical design considerations
 - Cable/ribbon management
 - •Way of securing interconnections if box removed from rack
- Maximum number of optical connections?
 - •Dangling ribbons between boxes to avoid extra connectors?
 - •Might need lots of single fibre connections if ribbon approach ends up with too many connections to regroup everything
 - Current jFEX tests all links work fine with two FOX boxes:
 FTM FOX1 FOX2 jFEX
 - •(Minipod MTP) (MTP MTP) (LC MTP) (MTP Minipod) [I think]
 - •One or two more optical connections than we are hoping for...