

Mappings from DPS to FEXes

Murrough Landon 14 August 2013

- •DPS Outputs
- Impact of ~10 Gbit/s links on mappings and FEXes
- •Number of LDPBs and AMCs?
- •Merge HEC with EMEC forward (|eta|>2.4) AMCs?



DPS and AMC Outputs

•I had assumed AMC μ POD outputs would be grouped as shown

- Same μ POD from all AMCs to one output
- Group front panel outputs by function
 Not by input eta*phi
- Sounds like this is very undesirable
- from LAr perspective
 - •Hard to change one AMC on an LDPB
 - But not strictly impossible???
- •Need to think about implications on

optical plant between DPS and FEXes

•We may come back to you on this one...





Notes on ~10 Gbit/s Links

•LAr and TDAQ TDRs agree to differ on link speeds

- •But all hoping for ~10 Gbit/s
- Someday need to define exactly what speed
 - And exactly what we mean by that speed
- •Meanwhile: impact of higher speeds on mappings?
 - •No change for EM fibres to eFEX
 - Same number, different content (no BCMUX)
 - •EM to jFEX and Hadronic fibres would change •Aim for 16 (instead of 8) towers per fibre
 - But this would allow jFEX to handle larger jets
 Consider 1.7*1.7 size jets (instead of 0.9*0.9)
 - •This would require more fanout (ie more copies) only to jFEX
 - •So only a small reduction in total number of fibres
 - •EM barrel AMC to jFEX: 4 fibres * 2 copies = 8 fibres @ 6.4 Gbit/s
 - •But 2 fibres * 3 copies = 6 fibres @ 10 Gbit/s



•My suggested use and count of AMCs

- •NB several EMEC forward (|eta|>2.4) and HEC variants
- •All variants assume 29 LDBPs (116 AMCs)
 - •24 LDPBs for EM barrel and EM standard endcap all the same •5 more for EM |eta|>2.4 (2), HEC (2) and FCAL (1)
- •Outputs marked with * require subsequent splitting
 - Not enough AMC outputs for required number of FEX inputs
 - •At ~10 Gbit/s may avoid any splitting by merging HEC and EMEC forward
 - •Less optical power loss => better for higher speed signals

Region	EM central eta <2.4	EMEC forward eta >2.4	<u>HEC@6.4</u>	HEC@10	EMECfwd+HEC@10	FCAL
Output 1	eFEX (core left + phi env)	eFEX (core + env)	eFEX*	eFEX	eFEX	jFEX
Output 2	eFEX (core right + phi env)	eFEX (core + env)	eFEX*	eFEX	eFEX	jFEX
Output 3	eFEX (eta environment)	Hardly used (few jFEX)	jFEX*	jFEX	jFEX	jFEX
Output 4	jFEX	jFEX	jFEX*	jFEX*	jFEX	jFEX
N.LDPBs	24	2?	2?	2?	4?	1?
N.AMCs	96	8?	8?	8?	16?	4?



Merge EMEC Fwd & HEC? (1)

•My original assumption:

- •One LDPB (4 AMCs) for HEC (each end)
 - •One AMC covers one quadrant (one whole HEC LTDB)
 - •Extra optical splitting needed for both eFEX and jFEX
- •One LPDB (4 AMCs) for forward EMEC (|eta|>2.4)
 - •One AMC covers one quadrant (one whole EMEC fwd LTDB)
 - •These AMCs are underused but more than half the available outputs are needed

•New suggestion:

- •One AMC has half a HEC LTDB plus half an EMEC fwd LTDB
- Same total number of AMCs, different input mapping



Merge EMEC Fwd & HEC? (2)

•Original assumption:

EM Barrel & Std Endcap < 2.4 One firmware mapping 36 fibres to eFEX (not all used at any eta,phi) 8 fibres to jFEX (or 6 fibres at 10 Gbit/s)

> EMEC Forward > 2.4 ~20 fibres to eFEX ~12 fibres to jFEX



HEC: one AMC per quadrant ~40 fibres to eFEX ~48 fibres to jFEX => lots of extra splitting Reduction at 10 Gbit/s: ~20 fibres to eFEX ~36 fibres to jFEX => still need some splitting



LAr WG

Murrough Landon, QMUL



Merge EMEC Fwd & HEC? (3)

New suggestion (mainly for 10 Gbit/s):

EM Barrel & Std Endcap < 2.4 One firmware mapping 36 fibres to eFEX (not all used at any eta, phi) 8 fibres to jFEX (or 6 fibres at 10 Gbit/s)



LAr WG

Murrough Landon, QMUL