



Online Software Status

Murrough Landon
15 March 2006

- TileCal Cable Test SW
- ROD Crate DAQ
- Databases
- Monitoring
- Next Steps



TileCal Cable Test Software

- Currently reading PPM via VME
 - Complex format, differs from final ROD readout
 - Few events, but may be better with ROD crate DAQ
 - Decoding, histograms, analysis, checks by Florian
 - Now also monitoring from Victor
- Next Steps
 - Test improved checks when TileCal tests restart
 - Run with ROD Crate DAQ controller
 - Migrate checks to cope with ROD readout
 - Better control of multistep runs



ROD Crate DAQ Progress

- Further small steps in a long saga
 - We have intended for a long time to migrate our crate controller to the ROD Crate DAQ skeleton
 - This includes more integrated handling of readout and other monitoring streams than our custom controller
 - All aspects of this now tested and work in principle
 - Though new changes in how we treat some of our readout data have been proposed...
- Next Steps
 - Discuss and decide any changes to module services API and handling of monitoring and readout streams
 - Just make the migration finally



Databases: Overview

- Configuration database
 - Current hardware setup
 - Current connectivity
 - Calibration and other settings for the next run
- Conditions database
 - Settings used in previous runs
 - Archive of all calibrations (even if never used)
- Data archive
 - Detailed data from calibrations, monitoring data
- Description/control of (sets of) multistep runs
- DCS configuration and conditions
- Trigger menu



Databases: Progress

- Used CMM calibration as testbed
 - Detailed results (histograms) stored as ROOT files
 - Summary of required settings stored in COOL
 - Started to look at tools/queries for trend studies
 - NB not using pure COOL API (limited queries)
 - Instead using underlying "RAL" software to look in COOL tables behind the back of the API
- Next steps
 - Load settings from DB to HW via run controller
 - Extend to other modules: PPM is worst case
 - Worry about use of COOL in ATHENA (performance issues with too many separate channels?)
 - Compare with experience elsewhere in ATLAS



Monitoring

- Lot of activity recently (see next talks)
 - Started to implement monitoring in GNAM
 - Bytestream decoding of ROD and PPM/VME
 - Simple histograms, more to come...
 - Work started on PPM monitoring incl rate/spectra
 - Activity at CERN, QMUL, Heidelberg, Stockholm
- Next steps
 - So far concentration on online monitoring
 - We will also want to look at offline data in ATHENA



Next Steps, Whats Missing

- **Databases**
 - Lot of work to do: configuration, connectivity, multistep run “plans”, migration to new trigger DB, etc
 - Not so many people to do it
- **Monitoring**
 - Lot of people (and work), needs organising
- **Support for integration/commissioning**
 - Tile/LAr integration and cable testing with RODs
 - Integration with ROS, CTP, RoIB
- **Eternal migrations**
 - New TDAQ, new LCG, ROD crate DAQ(!)
- **Documentation (as ever)**