

Solar panels and thermal imaging

Eric Eisenhandler

Insulation and thermal imaging

- Insulating houses the first thing to do
- Thermal imaging diagnosing energy losses

Solar panels

- Solar basics
- Solar hot-water panels
 - General information
 - How they work in a real installation
 - How they perform in a non-ideal climate
 - Proposed Renewable Heat Incentive will renewable heating be rewarded?
- Solar photovoltaic panels
 - General information
 - How they work in a real installation
 - How they perform in a non-ideal climate
 - Feed-in Tariff renewable generation is now an attractive option

Conclusions



Main ingredient in the recipe

Fairly modern house (built about 1970)

- Not well insulated when bought in 1982
- One feature not appreciated until 2007 large-area, unshaded, southfacing roof

For solar, even better if roof slope were 35°-45° instead of 25°





Insulation

The first thing to do! Inexpensive for a modern house, and grants help to pay for it

Roof

U=1 means 1 watt per m² per °C temperature difference * = current standard

Approximate U-values:

25 mm insulation: $1.1 \text{ W/m}^2/^{\circ}\text{C}$ – where we started 100 mm insulation: $0.3 \text{ W/m}^2/^{\circ}\text{C}$ – what we had until 2007 270 mm insulation: $0.16 \text{ W/m}^2/^{\circ}\text{C}^*$ – what we have now

Walls

- Approximate U-values:
 - Solid brick: 2.2 W/m²/°C Cavity wall: 1.0 W/m²/°C – *where we started* Insulated cavity wall: 0.6 W/m²/°C* – *since 1982*
- Old houses don't have cavity walls

Windows and doors

- Approximate U-values:
 - Single glazed: 5.0 W/m²/°C *where we started*

Double glazed: 2.9 W/m²/°C – *what we have now (new systems 1.7*)*

Draught-proofing strips can help a lot

Eric Eisenhandler • Physics of Energy and the Environment • 2011



Loft insulation



Cavity wall insulation



Insulation problems

But there are many problems

- Many people (even those who know better) somehow 'don't get around' to improving their insulation
- Even in modern buildings, there are often heat losses caused by faults in the insulation or building structure
- Huge number of older houses in the UK (many in poor condition) are difficult and expensive to insulate properly Structure leaks heat – poor design, and faults due to age No cavity walls – so wall insulation is a big, expensive job
 - Badly fitting, draughty windows and doors
 - Owners often can't afford to do it so they must pay huge energy bills
 - Landlords don't do it they don't pay the energy bills
 - Conservation areas and listed buildings *good in*

principle, but make it difficult to replace things like old windows

We need a way to diagnose insulation problems!







Thermal imaging

For checking insulation and finding problems

Markers give temperature in °C; outside temperature for this photo was about 8°C





Thermal imaging basics

Lens

• Germanium crystal: transmits λ from 8–12 μ m

Raw data

- Sensors: each 'pixel' is effectively a thermometer
- Only about 160×120 or 320×240 pixels 19k or 77k
- Recorded image consists of raw temperature readings
 Software can later correct them, alter false-colour scheme, add markers, ...
- Outside temperature should be at least 10–20°C lower than inside

Emissivity

• Net rate of energy radiated from unit area of a surface per second is emission minus absorption: $P = \varepsilon \sigma (T^4 - T_0^4)$

T is temperature of surface, T_0 is temperature of environment

 σ is Stefan-Boltzmann constant (5.67×10⁻⁸ Wm⁻²K⁻⁴)

 ϵ is emissivity, 0 to 1 (1 is black body, absorbing all incident radiation)

- Default value of emissivity used in images was ~0.9
- Brick 0.85–0.95, glass at least 0.9, wood 0.8–0.9

Metals 0.05–0.5, but hardly any in our images

So 0.9 not bad approximation – and we want differences, not absolute values!





Took images of 19 houses in Dec. 2008

Outside temperature was 4°C for some, but then 8°C

More photos taken in Jan. 2010 and 2011

Outside temperature was below 0°C



Eric Eisenhandler • Physics of Energy and the Environment • 2011

Thermal images





7



Diagnosing problems

Secondary double-glazing on an old house



Before

Leaky door fixed by insulation

Before

Radiator and thin outer wall







Eric Eisenhandler • Physics of Energy and the Environment • 2011



Jan. 2010/2011 camera







Timber beams inside walls, normally invisible, show up in this old cottage

Interesting to experts on old timber-framed buildings! Eric Eisenhandler • Physics of Energy and the Environment • 2011

Thermal imaging 2011

Took more images in Jan. 2011





Pub (heating off next door)

Recent development: small, easy-to-use, less expensive cameras now available



Solar energy basics

Potential of solar energy in the UK

- At midday, and if no cloud, a surface tilted towards to the sun gets about 1000 W/m² If not tilted towards the sun, the angle reduces this to about 600 W/m²
- For a cloudless day in March or September the 24-hour average is about 320 W/m²
- But in a typical UK location, it is sunny for only 34% of daylight hours
- Must take account of the seasons
- ncident solar flux (W/ sq m) Overall average for a *tilted*, south-facing UK roof is about **110 W/m²**, or about 960 kWh/m² per year (more in the south)





Two ways to use it: solar electricity and solar hot water

Solar thermal panels for hot water

In UK, usually claimed to yield ~60% of annual hot water

Even better in sunnier places – *e.g. widely used in China, Israel, …* Hot water only, not central heating – *not much sun in winter*

Two main types

Flat plates: simpler and cheaper Claim: 4 m² ⇒ 1400 kWh per year – about 36% efficiency
Evacuated tubes: more efficient but dearer Claim: 4 m² ⇒ 1800 kWh per year – about 47% efficiency
Backing painted black to absorb incoming energy
Glass plate or glass tubes trap energy, avoiding convection and re-radiation
Fluid circulating in thin metal pipes gets hot, for heating the hot water tank

Energy storage

- Can use hot water overnight or the next day *good*
- But on a bright day some incident energy
- is 'wasted' once there's a full tank of hot water









Large roof area, so we chose the best *Controller*, *pump* value rather than highest efficiency *and hot-water tank*

4 m² flat-plates – installed September 2007

- Bigger hot-water tank: 190 litres (enough for over 24 hours)
- Pump uses 30 W; on as long as panels more than 4°C warmer than water at bottom of tank
 - Some pumps are solar-powered
- Controller switches pump; displays status, panel & tank temperatures
- When not enough solar energy, water can be heated by boiler, or by electric immersion heater
 Harder to install if you have a 'combi' boiler, since you need a separate hot-water tank

Solar collector To taps Controller Tank Boiler Cold water feed Pump



Handling extreme temperatures

What if it gets very cold or very hot?

- Fluid for panels is in a closed loop does not mix with the hot water
- Uses ethylene glycol (antifreeze) so it won't freeze in cold weather
 (some systems use water but drain the tubes when
- (some systems use water but drain the tubes when system is not active)
- On a *hot* sunny day the fluid can *vaporise* pump turns off at 140°C and there is an expansion tank for the vapour
- Hot water is *never* heated beyond 60°C, for safety pump turns off







Solar thermal performance

Performance

- In summer water is fully heated by midday except when it's very grey
- In spring and autumn get full heating on sunny days, and some heating (to 30–40°C) on most others
- In winter over 45°C is possible even on a cold, very sunny day, but little on most



Electricity saving over 1000 kWh per year **Annual electricity consumption** Also saves gas/oil, but harder to measure

Cost

- Simple flat-plate systems typically about £3500-£4000
- Evacuated tubes roughly £1000 more
- Pay-back time perhaps 15–20 years, but see later

General points

- Previous up-front grants (e.g. for solar thermal) have ended
- Want to increase renewable heating at all scales
 - Target is 12% of UK heating from renewables by 2020
 - Hopefully, this will help make the technologies cheaper
 - Claims to be a world first, so no models to base it on unlike Feed-in Tariff
 - Make renewable systems a reasonable investment, but 'incentivise', not reward so systems installed before some date (July 2009?) will get nothing at all
- Public consultation closed in April 2010, aimed to start 1 April 2011
 - But DECC has not even responded to the consultation yet
 - They now say it will start 'some time in 2011'
 - Proposals will change; in the meantime the industry and customers can't plan!

Renewable heat technologies

- Technologies covered (in original proposal)
 - solar thermal water-heating
 - heat pumps (ground, air or water source)
 - geothermal heating
 - biomass boilers (e.g. wood pellet boilers, but not wood-burning stoves)
 - renewable combined heat and power

Air-source heat pump

- biogas (from anaerobic digestion) and bioliquids for replacing heating oil
- biomethane for gas grid
- district heating schemes
- Tariffs differ by technology, and for different-size systems in general, higher for small ones
- Installer and system must be government approved

Renewable heat tariffs

How the tariffs might work

- Major problem unlike electricity, heat is hard to measure accurately
- Therefore, payments will be based on what the installer estimates the annual output of the system will be – nominal ('deemed') value
- Rate for a given system will be fixed, but for new systems the rates will decline with time as prices get cheaper
- Some domestic examples (rates from original proposals, not final!):
 - Ground-source heat pumps and geothermal: 7.0 p/kWh for 23 years.
 - Air-source heat pumps: 7.5 p/kWh for 18 years
 - Solar thermal: 18 p/kWh for 20 years
 - A system like ours (if new) might get £250–£350 per year

Don't get ripped off!!

Like the bad old days of double-glazing sales

- Companies cold-call by phone, post, or on doorstep
- Offer a 'solar energy' system at several times the right price
 Are not very clear on what it does – often imply central heating too

- Much cheaper 'special offer' if you sign before they leave but price is still far too high
- Do not always tell you about government support, because that requires: Government (MCS)-approved equipment *and* installation company

Warning!

- System may or may not be competently installed, and may or may not work reliably and well
- Even if legitimate, watch out for very high prices
- Spreading to solar photovoltaics as well ...

Solar photovoltaic (PV) panels

See lectures for how solar cells work

PV panels are expensive

- They produce electricity best when the sun is shining – not when you need it
- Whatever you can use saves you the cost of 'importing' electricity from the grid

- Usually no battery storage surplus energy is 'exported' to the grid A domestic system might export *roughly half*
- So the price of importing, and what you get paid for exporting, is important When we started, the UK did not yet have a 'feed-in' tariff – used in many countries to encourage microgeneration – *but see later*
 - Our electricity supplier was charging ~12p per kWh for electricity we imported, but paying only 5p per kWh for export – we got interested when this went up first to 20p per kWh and then 28p per kWh for export

PV panel orientation

Roof direction

- An unshaded roof facing south, with a pitch of 30°–35°, is ideal But pitches between 25° and 50° make little difference to the energy collected
- Southeast or southwest: reduces the energy collected by 4–8%
- East or west: reduces it by about 20%
- Northeast, northwest, and especially north: solar is not recommended

Roof overshadowing

- Solar panels do work without direct sunlight, but output is *greatly* reduced Some newer models do a *bit* better – *but cost more*
- Problems if the roof is partly screened by trees (particularly in summer) or shaded by structures for much of the time

Even shading that looks minor can have a very big effect on output, because the panels are usually connected in series

 Could consider mounting panels on a shed, garage or pergola

Solar PV options

Choosing a system

Got quotes from 3 companies on 8 systems using 5 brands of panels Wide range: 1.3–2.5 kWp (i.e. peak), 10.5 to 17.6 m², 12.4% to 17.2% efficiency

Estimated yields from 1040 to 2100 kWh/year

- Not like solar thermal, which is relatively low-tech and you just want enough capacity for your needs
- How to choose?
 - For us, capacity was limited by cost not roof area, so we looked for best value rather than highest efficiency

Cost *per kWp* was similar for most systems

Highest efficiencies using latest panels were most expensive

One brand of panels was roughly 20% cheaper: made in UK, bought direct

What we chose

Monocrystalline panels, $10 \times 1.3 \text{ m}^2 = 13 \text{ m}^2$, **1.8 kWp** at 20°C, 13.7% efficient Cost £9500 (panels are now cheaper – current price would be about £8000 for a 2.0 or 2.2 kWp system)

Got government grant of £2500 minus £400 already received for solar thermal Eric Eisenhandler • Physics of Energy and the Environment • 2011 22

How solar PV systems work

How the system works

- Panels generate DC, with variable voltage
- Inverter converts to 240 V, 50 Hz AC, in phase with mains
- Switches, to disconnect from grid Automatically if grid goes off, for safety
- Meters for total generation, grid import, grid export, and instantaneous power

Eric Eisenhandler • Physics of Energy and the Environment • 2011

Inverter

Solar PV performance

Performance

- Installed October 2008
 - Estimated annual output:

Official (SAP): 1440 kWh per year Installer's prediction: 1710 kWh per year Actually got: 1770±25 kWh (!)

- In summer the best sunny days generate > 12 kWh
- In winter get over 3 kWh if very sunny day, but only tenths of a kWh if grey Temperature coefficient of -0.5% per °C helps in winter, hurts in summer Big difference between direct sunlight and even a fluffy little cloud over the sun

Solar PV daily yield

Day-to-day generation varies a lot!

Daily generation (kWh/day): March 2009 – February 2010

Results from the first year of operation

General points

Aims to increase small-scale renewable electricity, and expand market so systems get cheaper

Make small systems a reasonable investment – covers up to 5 MW Aim to 'incentivise', not reward, so systems installed < July 2009 get *much less*

- Started 1 April 2010, planned to last 20 years (25 for solar PV)
- Pays owners for total generation + a bit for export (elsewhere just export)
 Local use reduces transmission losses; makes people more aware of their usage
- Technologies covered:
 - solar photovoltaic
 - wind turbines
 - small-scale hydro
 - anaerobic digestion
 - 'micro' combined heat and power

Tariffs differ by technology, and for different-size systems – higher for small ones

- Up-front grants have ended
- Installer and system must be government (MCS) approved

Feed-in Tariff rates

Feed-in Tariffs for solar photovoltaics

- Rate for a given system is fixed, but for new systems the rates will decline with time as prices get cheaper
- For systems of less than 4 kW the tariff is 41.3 p/kWh + 3 p/kWh exported (existing houses) 36.5 p/kWh + 3 p/kWh exported (new houses) 9.0 p/kWh + 3 p/kWh exported (older systems)

- Other technologies get lower rates very detailed list
- Example: what we'd get per year from a new system like our existing one: $1770 \times \pounds 0.413 = \pounds 731$ Total generation $720 \times \pounds 0.100 = \pounds 86$ Import saving $1050 \times \pounds 0.030 = \pounds$ 32 *Export supplement* Total = £**849** *For 25 years*
- 'Rent-a-roof' deals widely available you get a 'free' system and reduced electricity bills, but someone else owns it and collects the Feed-in Tariff It would actually be better to borrow the money for your own system Eric Eisenhandler • Physics of Energy and the Environment • 2011

Solar PV update

After Feed-in Tariff started ...

- A group purchase of PV systems in our village was organised *lower price*
- Number of PV systems in the village increased from 8 to 18, including ...
- New system on our garage roof in Nov. 2010
 - 12 x 180 W panels, 2.16 kWp panels made in China
 - This (larger) system cost less than our one
 - Our total is now 3.96 kWp must be < 4 kW to get full Feed-in Tariff
 - Only our new system gets the full Feed-in Tariff the old system does not

Payback time ~8 years, and payments will continue for 25 years

New inverter

Eric Eisenhandler • Physics of Energy and the Environment • 2011

Conclusions and observations

There is a lot that can be done in homes to save energy

- Some of it is easy, and offers very quick payback
- Microgeneration much more attractive with Feed-In Tariff and Renewable Heat Incentive – solar photovoltaics, solar thermal, heat pumps, ...

The bigger picture

- UK is good for wind and tidal, but less good for solar, hydro, etc.
 How do we get enough renewable energy?
- Solar energy fluctuates, by the minute, time of day, weather and season.
 Much of this is unpredictable.
- Once past 20–30% renewables overall, an energy supply that matches demand becomes a problem.

Further (provocative!) reading: Sustainable Energy – without the hot air, by David MacKay. Entire book available free at: www.withouthotair.com Acknowledgement: Much of this was done in the context of the Blewbury Energy Initiative: www.blewbury.co.uk/energy